Instructions: A printed copy of your homework should be handed in at the start of class the day it is due. Supplementary electronic files (e.g. R scripts or wxMaxima files;) should be emailed to the instructor prior to class with file name format LASTNAME-HWX.EXT

1. Asymptotic Distributions. We begin with a few useful definitions and facts about finite dimensional Markov Chains (adapted from Resnik (2005)¹):

If it is possible to reach state j from state i, we say j is **accessible** from i, denoted as $i \to j$.

If $i \to j$ and $j \to i$, we say that states i and j **communicate**, which we denote as $i \leftrightarrow j$. This is an equivalence relation (i.e., it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive) and thus defines equivalence classes among states of a Markov Chain.

If a transition matrix **P** is *irreducible* (i.e., $i \leftrightarrow j$ for all i,j in the state space), then it has a left eigenvalue $\lambda_* = 1$ (which may or may not be unique!) and the associated left eigenvector **v** is positive-valued. Thus, when scaled appropriately, that eigenvector is a *stationary distribution* of the Markov Chain, since $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{v}$

Convergence Condition: If **P** is *irreducible* and *aperiodic* (i.e., \mathbf{P}^n converges to some limit as $n \to \infty$) then initial distributions converge to that unique stationary distribution. An $n \times n$ transition matrix **P** is irreducible and aperiodic *iff* all entries in \mathbf{P}^{n^2-2n+2} are positive, i.e., *iff* **P** is *power-positive*.

Note: Finite dimensional transition matrices have at most 1 stationary distribution, and exactly one if they are aperiodic. If $\mathbf{P}^n \to \mathbf{Q}$, the rows of \mathbf{Q} are identical and are the unique stationary distribution.

For each transition matrix below (i) determine if an arbitrary initial distribution converges to a stationary distribution by determining if the matrix is irreducible and aperiodic, (ii) find a stationary distribution of the matrix if one exists.

1a. (10 points)
$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4/5 & 1/5 \\ 4/7 & 3/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ans: According to the Convergence Condition given above, since this is a 2x2 matrix (n = 2) and $\mathbf{P}^{2^2-2\cdot 2+2}$ has all positive entries, it follows that \mathbf{P} is *irreducible* and *aperiodic* and therefore convergent, i.e., stationary distribution π exists.

```
library(expm); # install.packages("expm") if needed
P=matrix(c(4/5,4/7,1/5,3/7),nrow=2) # default: fill down columns...
P%^%2

## [,1] [,2]
## [1,] 0.7542857 0.2457143
## [2,] 0.7020408 0.2979592
```

¹Resnik, S. L. 2005. Adventures in Stochastic Processes. Ch. 2

The stationary distribution can be obtained either (1) by computing the limiting matrix \mathbf{Q} , or (2) by finding the left-eigenvector of \mathbf{P} (aka the right-eigenvector of transpose(\mathbf{P})).

```
P %~% 100 # The rows are the stationary distribution
                       [,2]
             [,1]
## [1,] 0.7407407 0.2592593
## [2,] 0.7407407 0.2592593
eigen(t(P)) # Then scale so entries sum to 1
## $values
## [1] 1.0000000 0.2285714
##
## $vectors
             [,1]
                        [,2]
## [1,] 0.9438584 -0.7071068
## [2,] 0.3303504 0.7071068
# The unit-length vector must be scaled so it's components sum to 1
eigen(t(P))$vectors[, 1]/sum(eigen(t(P))$vectors[, 1])
## [1] 0.7407407 0.2592593
```

1b. (10 points)

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 9/11 & 2/11 \\ 1/5 & 4/5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ans: As above, **P** is irreducible and aperiodic, and thus convergent.

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 0 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ans: In this case, \mathbf{P}^{n^2-2n+2} does have zero-valued entries. Thus it is not 'irreducible and aperiodic', and distributions do not converge to a stationary distribution (although one does exist!).

```
P = matrix(c(0, 1, 0, 1/3, 0, 2/3, 0, 1, 0), nrow = 3, byrow = T)
P \%^{0} (3^{2} - 2 * 3 + 2)
             [,1] [,2]
##
## [1,] 0.0000000 1 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.3333333
                    0 0.6666667
## [3,] 0.0000000
                  1 0.0000000
eigen(t(P))
## $values
## [1] -1.000000e+00 1.000000e+00 3.469447e-17
##
## $vectors
              [,1]
                      [,2]
##
## [1,] 0.2672612 0.2672612 7.071068e-01
## [2,] -0.8017837 0.8017837 -4.284038e-17
## [3,] 0.5345225 0.5345225 -7.071068e-01
eigen(t(P))$vectors[, 2]/sum(eigen(t(P))$vectors[, 2])
## [1] 0.1666667 0.5000000 0.3333333
```

Since it is possible to get from any state to any other state in 2 steps, we know \mathbf{P} is irreducible, therefore it must be *periodic*. Iterating \mathbf{P} shows that Markov Chain has period 2:

```
P %^% 51
                             P %^% 52
                                                           P %^% 53
            [,1] [,2]
                           [, ##
                                          [,1] [,2]
                                                         [, ##
                                                                        [,1] [,2]
## [1,] 0.0000000 1 0.00000 ## [1,] 0.3333333 0 0.66666 ## [1,] 0.0000000
                                                                             1 0.0000000
                 0 0.66666 ## [2,] 0.0000000 1 0.00000 ## [2,] 0.3333333
## [2,] 0.3333333
                                                                               0 0.6666667
## [3,] 0.0000000
                 1 0.00000 ## [3,] 0.3333333
                                               0 0.66666 ## [3,] 0.0000000
```

2. Classification of States: Recurrence and Transience.

Some questions require analyses that decompose the state space of a Markov Chain into recurrent and transient states. To do this we use the following definitions:

State j is recurrent if the markov chain returns to that state in a finite number of steps with probability 1. Otherwise, the state is called transient.

Proposition: The state space S of a Markov Chain can be partitioned into a set of **transient** states (T), and closed disjoint classes of **recurrent** states (C_i) , so that $S = T \cup C_1 \cup C_2...$

Procedure to identify transient and recurrent states:

First, we define a collection of closed sets (*closed* here means starting in a set, the Markov Chain can only transition among events in that set). Second, we identify equivalence classes (of states that communicate with each other) within each closed set. The equivalence classes that are closed contain the recurrent states. The non-closed classes contain transient states.

More specifically,

- 1. Choose a state i and find all states accessible from i, all states accessible from those states, etc. This closed set of states that can (eventually) be reached from state i will be denoted as cl(i). This is the smallest closed set containing i. Find a state k not in cl(i) and repeat for all remaining states.
- 2. Next, identify the number of equivalence classes within each closed set. Some closed sets are a single equivalence class (i.e., $i \leftrightarrow j$ for all i,j in that equivalence class). Those closed equivalence classes contain recurrent states. Closed sets may also contain more than 1 equivalence class. Non-closed equivalence classes (i.e. states that all communicate with one another, but can also access other states and thus never return) are the transient states.

Example:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4}\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Directed Graph representation.



The only closed equivalence class is $\{1,2\}$. Those are the recurrent states. It is possible to start at 3 or 4, and (via $3 \rightarrow 2$) never return. Thus, $\{3,4\}$ are the transient states.

2. (5 points each) Find the *transient* and *recurrent* states for the Markov Chains implied by the following transition matrices:

2a.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 2/3 & 0 \\ 1/8 & 0 & 0 & 7/8 \end{bmatrix}$$
 2b.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/8 & 0 & 0 & 7/8 \end{bmatrix}$$
 2c.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Answer: By the above definitions and procedure...

2a. All recurrent. **2b.** {1,4} recurrent, {2,3} transient. **2c.** {1} recurrent, {2-4} transient.

3. Absorption Probabilities and Expected Hitting Times.

Once states are classified as transient and recurrent, the transition matrix \mathbf{P} can be rearranged as \mathbf{P}' (see below) so that the transient states correspond to the first rows (columns) of the

4

matrix, and the recurrent (e.g., absorbing) states correspond to the remaining rows (columns).

$$\mathbf{P}' = \begin{bmatrix} Q & R \\ 0 & P_{rec} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The fundamental matrix

$$(I - Q)^{-1}$$

can be used to calculate absorption times and probabilities as detailed below.

Absorption Probabilities: It is sometimes desirable to know the probability of a certain state being reached before a set of other states. This is often accomplished by modifying the transition probabilities so that set of target states become *absorbing states* (i.e., once at state j the chain remains there w.p. 1) which are a special kind of recurrent state. We then can frame the question as "What is the probability that the first recurrent state reached is the jth recurrent state, given that the Markov Chain started at the jth transient state?"

The probability of reaching the j^{th} absorbing state, starting from the i^{th} transient state is calculated from the matrix U where u_{ij} is the desired probability, and U is given by

$$U = (I - Q)^{-1} R.$$

Example: Using the example transition matrix from exercise 2 above, what is the probability of hitting 1 before 2, given that we start in state 3?

To answer this, let us first rearrange the given transition matrix \mathbf{P} as described above, and call the result \mathbf{P}' (rows are labeled to clarify the rearrangement).

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{P}' = \frac{3}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies
$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $R = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Now we can rephrase our question: what is the probability of hitting 1 (the first recurrent state) first, given that we start in state 3 (the first transient state)? The answer to our question is therefore given by u_{11} , which is obtained from

$$U = (I - Q)^{-1}R = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{4}{3} & \frac{8}{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

These results are perhaps not unexpected: Inspecting the directed graph and transition probabilities given on the previous page, we see that the only way to exit the set of transients is via 3, and that transitioning to 1 is twice as likely as 2.

Expected Absorption Times. Let τ be the number of transitions it takes from starting at transient state i until hitting the first recurrent state. Then we can define a function g and compute the expected cumulative values starting from i until reaching a recurrent state as

$$w_i = \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} g(X_n)\right).$$

If T is the set of transients, it can be shown that for the vector of values $\mathbf{g} = [g(i), i \in T]'$ that the vector expected values $w = [w_i, i \in T]'$ is given by

$$w = (I - Q)^{-1} \mathbf{g}.$$

In the special case that g = 1, this yields the expected time spent in the transient states.

Example: Continuing with the above example, we see that the expected times until leaving the transient state (starting from either state 3 or 4) are given by

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{4}{3} & \frac{8}{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. (10 points) For the following transition matrix, find (1) the absorption probability matrix U and use it to answer which recurrent state (C or D) is most likely to be reached first, given each possible (transient) starting state. (2) Find vector w above and use it to give the expected time until leaving the transient states given each possible starting state.

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/6 & 0 \\ B & 1/5 & 1/5 & 0 & 3/5 \\ C & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ans:

(1) Using the formulas above, and a computer algebra system like Maple or wxMaxima or Mathematica.

$$U = (I - Q)^{-1}R = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & \frac{4}{5} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{5} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{5} & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{5} & \frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{1}{10} & \frac{9}{10} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, the rows of U (like R) correspond to states A and B, and the columns to states C and D. The entries of U, u_{ij} , are the probability of starting in the i^{th} transient state and leaving via hitting the j^{th} recurrent state before any other recurrent state. Therefore the 1st row of U tells us it's one-and-a-half times as likely to hit D before C, starting from state A, but 9 times as likely to hit D first starting at B.

(2) Using g(i) = 1 as above, the expected times spent in the transient states are give by

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{5} & 1\\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{17}{5}\\ \frac{21}{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the expected time to reach a recurrent state starting from the first transient state (state A) is $\tau_1 = 3.4$ time steps, while the expected time starting from the second state (state B) is slightly shorter: $\tau_2 = 2.1$ time steps.